



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/977,192	10/16/2001	Stefan Andersson	0119-082	3198
42015 7590 10/17/2008 POTOMAC PATENT GROUP PLLC P. O. BOX 270 FREDERICKSBURG, VA 22404			EXAMINER WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2437	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tammy@ppglaw.com



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

OCT 16 2008

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Kenneth Leffler
P.O. Box 270
Fredericksburg VA 22404

In re Application of:
Andersson
Application No. 09/977,192
Filed: October 16, 2001
For: Security System

DECISION GRANTING
PETITION TO RESET
PERIOD FOR REPLY

This is a decision on the petition filed on October 15, 2007, requesting that the period for reply set forth in the Office communication mailed on December 26, 2006 be restarted so to June 20, 2007 and that the 5 month extension of time fees paid be refunded as the December 26, 2006 office communications was not received.

In the absence of any apparent irregularity associated with the mailing of an Office communication, the Office presumes that the communication was properly mailed to the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by showing that the Office communication was not received.

The relevant portion of MPEP § 711.03(c) states:

The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.

In support of the petition, the petitioner stated that the Office Action mailed December 26, 2006 was never received by applicants and stated applicant's representative only discovered the Office Action recently. The petitioner supplied a copy of docket record (Exhibits D & E) showing that the Office Action was never received by applicant's representative. The docket record shows no entry reflecting receipt of the Office Action. Petitioner additionally provided a statement attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket record indicated that the Office Action was not received.

For the above-stated reasons the period for response for the December 26, 2006 office communications is hereby reset to begin June 20, 2007. As such the June 25, 2007 response

does not require the paid 5 month of extension of time and this payment (2,160.00) is considered in error and is hereby refunded

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **GRANTED on both accounts**.

Another office action is being prepared by the examiner and should be received shortly by applicant.

Any inquiry concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned whose telephone is (571) 272-3612. A second point of contact is QAS Kim Huynh at (571) 272-4147.

/Tod Swann/
Tod Swann
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400